

Degenerative Disc Disease: A Review of Cell Technologies and Stem Cell Therapy

Kaveh Haddadi^{1*}

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Neurosurgery, Imam Khomeini hospital, Orthopedic Research Center, Mazandaran University Of Medical Sciences, Sari, Mazandaran, Iran

*Corresponding Author Address: Department of Neurosurgery, Imam Khomeini hospital, Orthopedic Research Center, Mazandaran University Of Medical Sciences, Sari, Mazandaran, Iran. E mail:kh568hd@yahoo.com, Tel/Fax number: +98-11-33378789, Postal code: 48166-33131

Article Type: Review Article

Received: September 2, 2015, Last revised: January 26, 2016, Accepted: March 19, 2016

Abstract

Background & Aim: Low back pain is broadly documented as one of the most widespread pathologies in the advanced domain. Although the reasons of low back pain are uncountable, it has been meaningfully related to intervertebral disc degeneration. Present therapies for Intervertebral Disc (IVD) degeneration such as physical therapy and spinal fusion reduce symptoms' severity, but do not treat the source of degeneration. The use of tissue engineering to treat disc degeneration offers a chance to control the pathological course. New methods are presently being examined and have exposed mixed results. One major way of study has been stem cell injections. We go on to define the course of stem cell-mediated modalities in treatment of degenerative lumbar disc herniation

Methods & Materials/Patients: Literature search was performed in electronic databases PUBMED and EMBASE by means of Mesh terminologies (Nucleus pulposus, therapeutics, annulus fibrosus, intervertebral disc) and keywords (Degenerative disk disease, Stem Cells, Therapy).

Results: The intervertebral disc organization, developing treatments, mesenchymal stem cells, embryonic stem cells, practice in disc degeneration were some sections that were found in analysis for study review design.

Conclusion: Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have revealed potential in small animal models, nonetheless consequences in greater vertebrates have been varied.

Keywords: Intervertebral Disc; Degeneration; Stem cells

Please cite this paper as: Haddadi K. Degenerative Disc Disease: A Review of Cell Technologies and Stem Cell Therapy. Iran. J. Neurosurg. 2015;1(4):6-10

Introduction

At this time, degenerative disk disease (DDD) and the following chronic back pain characterize an important cause of morbidity and mortality universally (1,2). The purpose of accessible treatment modalities such as pain treatment and operations is to offer symptomatic relief; but they do not reduce the original pathophysiology of DDD. The disease itself has high social health care expenses (3). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a noninvasive and choice method to evaluate lumbar disc herniation and to exclude the different differential diagnosis in spine and other organs of body (4,5).

Numerous modalities are for symptomatic handling of this disorder, containing bed rest, massage, stretching, exercises, physical therapy, epidural injections and additional pain organization therapies, and spinal surgery by discectomy via laminotomy or laminectomy and spinal fusion with pedicular screw (6-9). Most conventional therapies are tried before surgery to reduce the probable complications due to surgical intervention. Though, these conservative actions and even operation itself with its linked dangers only reduce the symptoms with no influence on the disease procedure in the disc itself. New investigation has given additional vision into the pathogenesis of DDD, which has borne out a transformed attention in biologic

therapies positioned on the nucleus pulposus (NP) and the annulus fibrosus and the potential of stem cells to converse the disease course at a histological and cellular level (10,11).

In this study, we reviewed the existing literature concerning biologic therapies in the regeneration of the intervertebral disc (IVD). We defined the course of stem cell-mediated modalities in treatment of degenerative lumbar disc herniation.

Methods and Materials

Literature search was performed in electronic databases PUBMED and EMBASE by means of Mesh terminologies (Nucleus pulposus, therapeutics, annulus fibrosus, intervertebral disc) and keywords in English (Degenerative disk disease, Stem Cells, Therapy). Papers published from 1869 to 2016 were considered in this study. Exclusion criteria were trainings available in every language other than English. We studied 61 articles from January 1976 to December 2015.

Results

The intervertebral disc: Organization and Degeneration

The IVD is avascular and contains predominantly of a macromolecular extracellular matrix (ECM) through a low-

density populace of cells aid to preserve this ECM. Obviously, a usual IVD involves a dominant NP enclosed by the annulus fibrosus (AF), all of which intersect each other among two cartilaginous endplates (EPs) (12). The NP is comparatively fluid, collected mainly of an ECM of collagen type II and proteoglycans. Functionally, the collagen informs tensile strength, whereas the proteoglycans entice and bind water, providing flexibility to compression. Postponed through this ECM are chondrocyte-like cells (13).

Frequently, the constancy of the NP is defined as "gell mass." In turn, the AF is collected of a sequence of concentric rings (lamellae) which are chiefly collagen I. The high fraction of collagen marks the AF rigid, a possession that assists to comprise more fluid NP and donate to the integrity of the disc. Lastly, the endplates distinct the NP and AF from the contiguous vertebral bone. Histologic valuation has revealed that disc degeneration ultimately initiates in the early teenage years (14,15). The discs of the lumbar spine tolerate an unequal quantity of this wear (14). Far from being static, the disc ECM is topic to nonstop synthesis and degradation (16). In IVD degeneration, the rate of matrix anabolism reduces, while matrix catabolism increases. This leads to an amount of variations. Proteoglycan contents in the NP drops meaningfully as well as the capability of the ECM to attract and recollect water (16). The amount of chondrocytes in the ECM drops (15,17). Macroscopically, fibrous tissue forms in the NP, resulting in a loss of gel-like personality and eventually leading to a disbanding of the distinction between NP and AF (2). Repetitive mechanical loading (18,19) and deteriorating nutrition (18,20,21) have been concerned as the two most critical influences in degeneration. Inadequate nutrition is important in slowing matrix anabolism. Because the IVD is avascular, it needs to obtain nutrients through diffusion. Blood vessels terminate at the EP and nutrients then move based on gradients across the plate and through the ECM to spread embedded cells. It is well recognized that the EPs develop less permeable by age (21,22), and Boos et al. (2002) found histologic confirmation that a reduction in endplate blood vessels accords with a growth in disc ECM failure. Educations on disc nutrition have recommended that glucose is the serious nutrient for preserving cell viability, with oxygen and pH acting as secondary factors (19,23). Once nutrition of the disc is adequately impaired, disturbance of matrix synthesis and cell death can happen (24,25). The additional constituent in disc degeneration is collapse of the matrix. Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and aggrecanases are two curricula of enzymes complicated in both normal matrix turnover and degeneration. These enzymes destroy the components of the ECM and have been originate at raised levels in degenerated discs (26,27).

Developing Treatments. A growing sympathetic of the molecular variations related with IVD degeneration has run to an increasing investigation of numerous treatments planned to straight discourse these changes (17). In current years, treatments directing numerous molecular and cellular features of degeneration have been discovered. One method has been the direct injection or stimulation through gene therapy of an amount of growth factors intricate in regulating matrix anabolism (28,29). This practice has revealed hopeful consequences

alternative major path of study has been cell therapy. The main objective of cell therapy is to grow ECM synthesis via rebuilding the degenerated NP. To achieve this, one of numerous types of cells is injected directly into the NP. Cell kinds used thus far include NP cells (32), chondrocytes (33), and MSCs (34), all of which have showed potential for decelerating and repairing degeneration.

Mesenchymal Stem Cells

Transforming growth factor- β 3 (TGF- β 3) is a factor that has been shown in multiple studies to stimulate cells to differentiate into chondrocytes (35). Several studies have shown that after TGF- β 3 stimulation, MSCs turned positive for collagen type II protein and expressed a large panel of genes characteristic for chondrocytes, such as aggrecan, decorin, fibromodulin, and cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (35). Shen et al. () have shown that bone morphogenic protein-2 (BMP-2) can help to enhance TGF- β 3-mediated chondrogenesis in MSCs (36). The combination of BMP-2 and TGF- β 3 in alginate culture was found to be superior to the standard differentiation method using TGF- β 3 alone as evinced by increased mRNA expression of aggrecan, type II collagen, Sox-9, BMP-2, and BMP-7, all of which are chondrocyte markers. This effect was even more pronounced when TGF- β 3 and rhBMP-2 were both added (37). This synergistic effect was consistently found in the study, providing further support as yet unknown pathway towards chondrocytic differentiation.

Embryonic Stem Cells

Hoben et al. (2009) performed a similar characterization study using human ESCs (38). Growth factors were studied with a coculture method for 3 weeks and evaluated for collagen and glycosaminoglycan (GAG) synthesis. The growth factors studied were TGF- β 3, BMP-2, BMP-4, BMP-6, and sonic hedgehog protein. The investigators found that the combination of BMP-4 and TGF- β 3 within the fibrochondrocyte coculture led to an increase in cell proliferation and GAG production compared to either treatment alone. Koay et al. (2007) had similar results with BMP-2 and TGF- β 3 leading human ESCs down a differentiation path that produced an end product with high type I collagen content (39). However, they also found that human ESCs treated with TGF- β 3 followed by TGF- β 1 and IGF-1 produced constructs with no collagen I, showing that different growth factor application in different temporal sequences can have a marked impact on end-product composition and biomechanical properties.

Practice in disc Degeneration

Some in vivo studies have indicated the usage of MSCs to deliberate the course of IVD degeneration and redevelop the matrix. In 2003, Sakai et al. conducted the first study of using the MSCs to restoration of IVD degeneration in vivo using a rabbit model (40). Incomplete aspiration of the NP was used to encourage degeneration, and autologous MSCs fixed in an atelocollagen gel stayed then inserted into discs. This process was established to avoid histological and morphological disc degeneration while matched to a nontreated, degeneration-induced controller. General NP and AF construction, cell volume, and matrix development were kept up to 8 weeks after injection,

and fixed MSCs were found to have differentiated into cells approximating original disc cells.

By a rabbit model, Zhang et al. (2008) established that transplanted allogenic MSCs survived and augmented proteoglycan and collagen II synthesis in the NP (41). Wei et al. (2009) used a rat model to evaluate the capability of human MSCs to proliferate and function inside the IVD (42). After 6 weeks, MSCs confirmed survival and differentiation to disc cells. Extensive Success using allogeneic and xenogeneic MSCs may replicate the immune advantage of the IVD (43), like the immunosuppressive abilities of MSCs (44). Henriksson et al. (2009) inserted human MSCs into porcine discs which were then gathered at up to 6 months (45). At follow-up, MSCs survived and differentiated toward disc cells, displaying matrix-producing functionality. Likewise, Hiyama et al. (2008) found MSC injection into degeneration-induced canine discs proliferated proteoglycan contents and successfully alleviated degeneration (46).

Future Instructions

Combination therapy, providing supportive matrix and bioactive materials, might almost be the finest solution required, improving cell survival, proliferation, and differentiation (47). Numerous growth factors labelled in earlier studies have been implicated in IVD degeneration and therapy. MSCs secreting transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β), Insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) have been established in cocultures with NP cells and have been revealed to be an actual stimulator on matrix metabolism and cell proliferation throughout biological repair of IVDs (48). Growth and differentiation factor-5 have been exposed to rise disc stature and stimulate proliferation and matrix synthesis in the NP and AF.

Additionally, Henriksson et al. (1997) found endogenous stem cell places in the AF boundary to the ligament zone and the perichondrium area (49). The application of growth factors can excite proliferation of these endogenous stem cells. Immunogenicity, architectural and mechanical possessions alongside with biocompatibility, biodegradability, and technique of graft transfer should be measured while selecting the scaffold (50). Pharmaceutical studies will similarly require to be complete in order to regulate the cell density and volume that need to be transplanted in order to gain the anticipated outcome though causing the least quantity of side effects. Given that the IVD is reflected immunoprivileged, the need to discover an autologous cell origin might not be essential (51).

Other important issue is the perfect culture circumstances of the MSCs. First of all, for clinical trials it must be done in GMP grade situations with xeno-free substances (48). It is significant to consider that in vitro development can lead to an accumulation of genetic and epigenetic fluctuations by an unknown result in vivo when transplanted. The changes might lead to augmented immunogenicity even in autologous or malignant transformation.

Conclusion

It is obvious that there are numerous problems left unanswered. In order to define an actual therapeutic choice for IVD - degeneration associated back pain, further designed studies are required. One of the chief

problems is making an animal model that can sufficiently duplicate the microenvironment perceived in IVD degeneration. When an animal model is recognized, more preclinical records in a focused method will be available.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Conrad DA et al. Cost of low back pain problems: an economic analysis. In: Weinstein JN, Gordon SL (eds). *Low Back Pain: a Clinical and Scientific Overview*. AAOS publishers: Rosemont, IL, 1996.
2. Anderson J. Back pain and occupation. In: Jayson MIV (2). *The Lumbar Spine and Back Pain*. Churchill Livingstone: London. 1987; 2-36.
3. Chan D, Song Y, Sham P. Genetics of disc degeneration. *Eur Spine Journal*. 2006;15(Suppl 3):S317-S325.
4. Haddadi K, Asadian L, Emadian O, Zare AH. Hydatid Disease of the Lumbar Spine: A Report on Pure Spinal Involvement with Hydatid Cysts. *Neurosurg Quaterly*. 2015; 25(1):128-30.
5. Shakeri M, Karimi Yarandi K, Haddadi K, Sayyahmelli S. Prevalence of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm by Magnetic Resonance Images (MRI) in Men over 50 years with low back pain. *Rawal Med Journal*. 2009;34:1-3.
6. B. M. Ozgur, H. E. Aryan, L. Pimenta, and W. R. Taylor. Extreme Lateral Interbody Fusion (XLIF): a novel surgical technique for anterior lumbar interbody fusion. *Spine Journal*. 2006; 6(4): 435-43.
7. K.-J. Song, B.-W. Choi, T.-S. Jeon, K.-B. Lee, and H. Chang. Adjacent segment degenerative disease: is it due to disease progression or a fusion-associated phenomenon? Comparison between segments adjacent to the fused and non-fused segments. *European Spine Journal*. 2011 ; 20(11): 1940-5.
8. S. Tang and B. J. Rebolz. Does anterior lumbar interbody fusion promote adjacent degeneration in degenerative disc disease? A finite element study. *Journal of Orthopaedic Science*. 2011; 16(2) :221-8.
9. Iotfinia I, Haddadi K, Sayyahmelli S. Computed tomographic evaluation of pedicle dimension and lumbar spinal canal. *Neurosurgery Quaterly*. 2010;20(3):194-8.
10. Thompson JP, Oegema Jr TR, Bradford DS. Stimulation of mature canine intervertebral disc by growth factors. *Spine*. 1991; 16: 253-60.
11. Gruber HE, Norton HJ, Hanley Jr EN. Anti-apoptotic effects of IGF-1 and PDGF on human intervertebral disc cells in vitro. *Spine*. 2000; 25: 2153-7.
12. Kang JD et al. Herniated lumbar intervertebral discs spontaneously produce matrix metalloproteinases, nitric oxide, interleukin-6, and prostaglandin E2. *Spine*. 1996; 21: 271-7.
13. Hallen A. Hexosamine and ester sulphate content of the human nucleus pulposus at different ages. *Acta Chem Scand*. 1958; 12: 1869-72.
14. M. Haefeli, F. Kalberer, D. Saegesser, A. G. Nerlich, N. Boos, and G. Paesold. The course of macroscopic degeneration in the human lumbar intervertebral disc. *Spine*. 2006;31(14): 1522-31.
15. N. Boos, S. Weissbach, H. Rohrbach, C. Weiler, K. F. Spratt, and A. G. Nerlich. Classification of age-related changes in lumbar intervertebral discs: 2002 Volvo award in basic science. *Spine*. 2002;27(23): 2631-44.
16. J. Antoniou, T. Steffen, F. Nelson et al. The human lumbar intervertebral disc: evidence for changes in the biosynthesis and denaturation of the extracellular matrix with growth, maturation, ageing, and degeneration. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 1996 ; 98(4): 996-1003.
17. T. J. Freemont, C. LeMaitre, A. Watkins, and J. A. Hoyland. Degeneration of intervertebral discs: current understanding of cellular and molecular events, and implications for novel therapies. *Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine*. 2001 ; 3: 1-10.
18. T. Maerz, H. Herkowitz, and K. Baker, "Molecular and genetic advances in the regeneration of the intervertebral disc," *Surgical Neurology International* 2013, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. S94-S105.
19. Jeffrey Zeckser, Michael Wolff, Jason Tucker, and Josh Goodwin . Multipotent Mesenchymal Stem Cell Treatment for Discogenic Low Back Pain and Disc Degeneration. Hindawi Publishing Corporation. Volume 2016; Article ID 3908389, 13 pages.
20. H. A. Horner and J. P. G. Urban. Volvo award winner in basic science studies: effect of nutrient supply on the viability of cells from the nucleus pulposus of the intervertebral disc. *Spine*. 2001; 26(23) :2543-49 .
21. A. Nachemson, T. Lewin, A. Maroudas, and M. A. Freeman. In vitro

diffusion of dye through the end-plates and the annulus fibrosus of human lumbar inter-vertebral discs. *Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica*.1970 ; 41(6): 589–607.

22. M. Tanaka, D. Sakai, A. Hiyama et al., “Effect of cryopreservation on canine and human activated nucleus pulposus cells: a feasibility study for cell therapy of the intervertebral disc,” *BioResearch Open Access* 2013, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 273–282.

23. S. R. S. Bibby and J. P. G. Urban. Effect of nutrient deprivation on the viability of intervertebral disc cells. *European Spine Journal*.2004 ;13(8): 695–701.

24. H. Ishihara and J. P. G. Urban. Effects of low oxygen concentrations and metabolic inhibitors on proteoglycan and protein synthesis rates in the intervertebral disc. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*.1999 ; 17(6): 829–35.

25. D. Coric, K. Pettine, A. Sumich, and M. O. Boltes, “Prospective study of disc repair with allogeneic chondrocytes,” *Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine* 2013, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 85–95.

26. C. L. Le Maitre, A. J. Freemont, and J. A. Hoyland. Localization of degradative enzymes and their inhibitors in the degenerate human intervertebral disc. *Journal of Pathology*. 2004;204(1) 47–54 .

27. S. Roberts, B. Caterson, J. Menage, E. H. Evans, D. C. Jaffray, and S. M. Eisenstein. Matrix metalloproteinases and aggrecanase: their role in disorders of the human intervertebral disc. *Spine*.2000 ;25(23): 3005–13.

28. L. Acosta, J. Lotz, and C. P. Ames. The potential role of mesenchymal stem cell therapy for intervertebral disc degeneration: a critical overview. *Neurosurgical Focus*. 2005; 19(3):E4 .

29. K. Masuda, T. R. Oegema, and H. S. An, “Growth factors and treatment of intervertebral disc degeneration. *Spine*.2004; 29(23): 2757–69 .

30. H. S. An, K. Takegami, H. Kamada et al. Intradiscal administration of osteogenic protein-1 increases intervertebral disc height and proteoglycan content in the nucleus pulposus in normal adolescent rabbits. *Spine*.2005 ; 30(1). 25–31.

31. S. T. Yoon, K. S. Kim, J. Li et al. The effect of bone morphogenetic protein-2 on rat intervertebral disc cells in vitro. *Spine*. 2003 ;28(16): 1773–80.

32. C. J. Centeno, “Clinical challenges and opportunities of mesenchymal stem cells in musculoskeletal medicine,” *PM&R* 2014, vol. 6;no. 1, pp. 70–77.

33. M. Gorensek, C. Joksimovic, N. Kregar-Velikonja et al. Nucleus pulposus repair with cultured autologous elastic cartilage derived chondrocytes. *Cellular and Molecular Biology Letters*.2004; 9(2):363–373.

34. G. Ho, V. Y. L. Leung, K. M. C. Cheung, and D. Chan, “Effect of severity of intervertebral disc injury on mesenchymal stem cell-based regeneration. *Connective Tissue Research*.2008; 49(1) 15–21.

35. Steck E, Bertram H, Abel R, Chen B, Winter a, Richter W. Induction of intervertebral disc-like cells from adult mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells*. 2005; 23(3):403-11.

36. Shen B, Wei A, Tao H, Diwan AD, Ma DD. BMP-2 enhances TGF-beta3-mediated chondrogenic differentiation of human bone marrow multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells in alginate bead culture. *Tissue Eng J*. 2009; 15(6):1311-20.

37. Kuh SU, Zhu Y, Li J, Tsai KJ, Fei Q, Hutton WC, Yoon ST. Can TGF-beta1 and rhBMP-2 act in synergy to transform bone marrow stem cells to discogenic-type cells?. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 2008 ; 150(10):1073-9; discussion 1079.

38. Hoben GM, Willard VP, Athanasiou KA. Fibrochondrogenesis of hESCs: growth factor combinations and cocultures. *Stem Cells Dev*. 2009; 18(2):283-92.

39. Koay EJ, Hoben GM, Athanasiou KA. Tissue engineering with chondrogenically differentiated human embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells*. 2007; 25(9):2183-90.

40. D. Sakai, J. Mochida, Y. Yamamoto et al., “Transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells embedded in Atelocollagen gel to the intervertebral disc: a potential therapeutic model for disc Degeneration. *Biomaterials*.2003; 24(20): 3531–41.

41. Y. G. Zhang, X. Guo, P. Xu, L. L. Kang, and J. Li. Bone mesenchymal stem cells transplanted into rabbit intervertebral discs can increase proteoglycans. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*.2005;430: 219–26.

42. A. Wei, H. Tao, S. A. Chung, H. Brisby, D. D. Ma, and A. D. Diwan, “The fate of transplanted xenogeneic bone marrow derived stem cells in rat intervertebral discs. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*.2009; 27(3) 374–9.

43. T. Takada, K. Nishida, M. Doita, and M. Kurosaka. Fas ligand exists on intervertebral disc cells: a potential molecular mechanism for immune privilege of the disc. *Spine*. 2002; 27(14): 1526–30.

44. A. Bartholomew, C. Sturgeon, M. Siatskas et al., “Mesenchymal stem cells suppress lymphocyte proliferation in vitro and prolong skin graft survival in vivo. *Experimental Hematology*.2002 ; 30(1): 42–8.

45. H. B. Henriksson, T. Svanvik, M. Jonsson et al. Transplantation of human

mesenchymal stems cells into intervertebral discs in a xenogeneic porcine model. *Spine*.2009; 34(2)141–8.

46. A. Hiyama, J. Mochida, T. Iwashina et al. Transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells in a canine disc degeneration model. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 2008; 26(5): 589–600.

47. H. Brisby, H. Tao, D. D. F. Ma, and A. D. Diwan. Cell therapy for disc degeneration - Potentials and pitfalls. *Orthopedic Clinics of North America*. 2004; 35(1): 85–93.

48. David Oehme, Tony Goldschlager, Peter Ghosh, et al. Cell-Based Therapies Used to Treat Lumbar Degenerative Disc Disease: A Systematic Review of animal Studies and Human Clinical Trials. Hindawi Publishing Corporation. Volume 2015, Article ID 946031, 16 pages.

49. T. Handa, H. Ishihara, H. Ohshima, R. Osada, H. Tsuji, and K. Obata. Effects of hydrostatic pressure on matrix synthesis and matrix metalloproteinase production in the human lumbar Intervertebral disc. *Spine*. 1997.22(10): 1085–91.

50. Y. Zhang, H. S. An, C. Tannoury, E. J. M. A. Thonar, M. K. Freedman, and D. G. Anderson. Biological treatment for degenerative disc disease: implications for the field of physical medicine and rehabilitation. *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*.2008; 87(9): 694–702.

51. Ustyenko A. M. Cell Technologies in Treatment of Human Intervertebral Disk Hernia: Perspectives. *UDC* 2015;611.721.1:616.34-007.43-031.611.959:616.8-08:576.32/36

Comments

Twenty first century would face an introduction to clinical translation of basic research regarding stem cell technology for various neuropathological situations.

Most of the available evidence regarding application of stem cells for intervertebral disc pathologies have been obtained experimentally working on animals. These studies have been performed on rat, rabbit, and dog species, and try to promote proteoglycan synthesis, as well as collagen type II in the nucleus pulposus. They have successfully reported reproduction of chondrocytes in the nucleus pulposus, from stem cell origin.

Also chemical macromolecules such as Insulin like Growth Factor 1 (IGF1), Platelet Derived Growth Factor (PDGF), have had important role in the metabolism of connective matrix and cellular proliferation.

Some recent studies have used degenerated human nucleus pulposus cells for disc matrix regeneration. Other studies have suggested percutaneous mesenchymal stem cell injects in to the nucleus pulposus, for the purpose of disc regeneration. Nevertheless the following questions still remain unanswered:

- 1-Which patients will benefit from cell therapies?
- 2-What is the temporal profile of biological repair of human discs?
- 3-Can cells be implanted safely into the disc?
- 4-What are the limitations arising from the nutrient supply for the implant cells?
- 5-Are conditions in the treated discs permissive for matrix production in the nucleus polposus?

Obtaining good results from preclinical studies, has led to FDA certificate for conduction of several clinical trials regarding application of stem cells for intervertebral disc regeneration.

It is important for surgeons to have knowledge of stem cell treatment results and indications when they become available to the patients for helping them to make informed decisions about the treatments they undertake.

Well controlled preclinical testing is needed to address their long term efficacy for using committed cells compare to adverse effects and concerns about the use of stem cells.

The accumulation of well-designed and case-controlled clinical trials in a step-wise manner in concert with expert discussions and regulatory institutions will be crucial to surmounting the obstacles to stem cell therapy for intervertebral disc degeneration.

Hooshang Saberi, M.D., MPH. Department of Neurosurgery, Imam Khomeini Hospital, Tehran, University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

References

1. Drazin D, Rosner J, Avalos P, Acosta F. Stem cell therapy for degenerative disc disease. *Advances in orthopedics*. 2012;2012:961052. Epub 2012/05/18.
2. Huang YC, Leung VY, Lu WW, Luk KD. The effects of microenvironment in mesenchymal stem cell-based regeneration of intervertebral disc. *The spine journal : official journal of the North American Spine Society*. 2013;13(3):352-62. Epub 2013/01/24.
3. Oehme D, Goldschlager T, Rosenfeld JV, Ghosh P, Jenkin G. The role of stem cell therapies in degenerative lumbar spine disease: a review. *Neurosurgical review*. 2015;38(3):429-45. Epub 2015/03/10.
4. Saeed H, Ahsan M, Saleem Z, Iqtedar M, Islam M, Danish Z, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) as skeletal therapeutics - an update. *Journal of biomedical science*. 2016;23:41. Epub 2016/04/17.
5. Sakai D, Andersson GB. Stem cell therapy for intervertebral disc regeneration: obstacles and solutions. *Nature reviews Rheumatology*. 2015;11(4):243-56. Epub 2015/02/25.
6. Tibiletti M, Kregar Velikonja N, Urban JP, Fairbank JC. Disc cell therapies: critical issues. *European spine journal : official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society*. 2014;23 Suppl 3:S375-84. Epub 2014/02/11.
7. Wang Z, Perez-Terzic CM, Smith J, Mauck WD, Shelerud RA, Maus TP, et al. Efficacy of intervertebral disc regeneration with stem cells - a systematic review and meta-analysis of animal controlled trials. *Gene*. 2015;564(1):1-8. Epub 2015/03/23.
8. Zeckser J, Wolff M, Tucker J, Goodwin J. Multipotent Mesenchymal Stem Cell Treatment for Discogenic Low Back Pain and Disc Degeneration. *Stem cells international*. 2016;2016:3908389. Epub 2016/02/18.