Foreign Body Reaction Mimicking Intracranial Abscess following the Use of Oxidized Regenerated Cellulose (Surgicel™): Case Report and Literature Review
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Abstract

Background & Importance: The use of local hemostatic agents in neurosurgery is mandatory in order to control the sequelae of intracerebral hemorrhage. It is widely used in oxidized regenerated cellulose (Surgicel™).

Case Presentation: A 54-year-old man previously operated for grade II meningioma came back to our attention due to the onset of left side arm weakness one month after surgery. The CT scan and MRI showed an extensive lesion on the surgical site with the suspect of intracranial abscess. Intraoperative detections suggested the features of a granulomatous foreign body reaction.

Conclusion: The occurrence of granulomatous reaction to oxidized regenerated cellulose, when used as hemostatic agent, is a very rare finding following intracranial surgery.
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Background & Importance

Uncontrolled bleeding has to be absolutely avoided in brain surgery. For this purpose, neurosurgeons use topical haemostatic agents in their practice (3). Because of the delicate nature of intracranial tissues, commonly bioabsorbable materials such as oxidized regenerated cellulose (Surgicel™; Ethicon, Inc., Somerville, NJ) have been used and left in the surgical field in order to prevent re-bleeding after closure (10). This practice may induce a rare granulomatous inflammatory reaction close to and onto the surgical site (6). This may lead to symptoms and/or neuroradiological findings such as mass lesions virtually indistinguishable from recurrent tumor or postoperative intracranial abscesses at CT-MRI scans (7).

The occurrence of granulomatous inflammatory foreign body reaction due to the use of oxidized regenerated cellulose (Surgicel™; Ethicon, Inc., Somerville, NJ) is extremely rare: only few cases were published (2,5-9). We report a case of 54 year-old man previously operated for intracranial meningioma who underwent surgery again for a granulomatous foreign body reaction mimicking postoperative brain abscess.

Case Presentation

History

A 54-year-old man underwent surgery at our institution for sudden onset of weakness of the left arm and gait difficulties. MRI scans showed right frontoparietal mass tumor. The histopathological diagnosis was atypical meningioma (grade II, WHO 2007; MIB-1=10%). During the operation, as usual, the surgeon took the Surgicel™ in order to prevent the bleeding from the surgical field. Clinical conditions of the patient improved and he was discharged a few days later. After one month, the patient came back to our department for the recurrence of left side rapidly worsening arm weakness.

Physical Examination

Patient was conscious, alert and cooperative (GCS=15). Pupils were normal and no cranial nerve palsies were noted. We observed left hemiparesis with marked weakness of the arm with positivity to the Mingazzini’s test. Deep tendon reflexes were very weak on the left side so walking became more difficult and unstable. Immunology and haematological profile reported normal range values and temperature was average.

Preoperative Neuroimaging

We performed enhancing CT and MRI scans with the evidence of a large hypodense area with inhomogeneous enhancement and cystic areas with surrounding edema such as cystic-necrotic lesion into previous surgical field (Figures 1&2). The patient also underwent total body scintigraphy (WBC PAO 99mTc): this study reported data suggestive for septic inflammation. Based on these results and the short time run after previous surgery, the suspected diagnosis was postoperative brain abscess. Then, the patient experienced surgery again.

Surgery

The patient was placed in supine position with the head tilted about 30 degrees on the left side. Then, curve shape skin incision on the old wound was performed, cranial operculum was removed and the dura layer opened observing a large extracerebral mass with irregular borders and malignant appearance. With the aid of intraoperative microscope, the lesion was identified. On
Histopathological findings revealed foreign body granulomas but did not show any evidence of recurrent meningioma. In detail, the microscopic examination showed a rich inflammatory infiltrate represented by multinucleated giant cells associated with residues of surgicel, foam cells, lymphocytes and polymorphonucleate. Moreover, micro-hemorrhagic phenomena, occasional hemosiderin deposits and calcifications were also present (Figure 4).

Discussion

Literature Review and Analysis of Patients

From a comprehensive review of the literature, we found only 11 cases of foreign body reaction related to Surgicel™ as haemostatic agent following neurosurgical procedures (Table 1). On the table mentioned above, are summed a worldwide literature review of intracranial foreign body reaction mimicking recurrent tumor or post-operative abscess due to previous use of oxidized regenerated cellulose (Surgicel™). We reviewed literature since 1989 and excluded all cases of foreign body reaction after surgery where other local haemostatic agents were used. Thus, our inclusion criteria encompassed only patients where local haemostasis of the surgical field had been obtained by use of oxidized regenerated cellulose left in situ. Case reports where surgical hemostasis were obtained by use of local agents such as Gelfoam, cotton, acrylate monomer, rayon, Avitene and other materials were excluded from our literature review.

We could observe that the occurrence of a granulomatous reaction after using oxidized regenerated cellulose usually required to achieve adequate hemostasis in brain surgery is very rare. The first three cases of foreign body reaction after using oxidized regenerated cellulose were published back in 1989 (6). Since then, only 12 cases (including patient reported in this work) were found. The review considered 8 males (66.66%) and 4 females (33.33%) with an age range from 3 to 65 years old, and mean age of 40.58. Among all, we considered 2 three-year-old children (16.66%). Patients included in this review underwent surgery first time for intracranial meningioma removal in 7 cases (58.33%) (2,5,6,9), intracranial aneurysm clipping in 1 case (8.33%) (6), inferior vermis medulloblastoma in 1 case (8.33%) (7), AVM+parietal PNET in 1 case (8.33%) (7), gliosarcoma in 1 case (8.33%) and tanyctic ependymoma in the other 1 case (8.33%) (8).
Surgical Considerations

Adequate hemostasis is an important and indispensable element of cranial surgery. For this purpose, Surgicel™ and other similar materials are currently available on the market. Bjorensen et al. and Spiller et al. focused on the effect of absorbable topical haemostatic agents on the relaxation of time blood on in vitro study (1,10), emphasizing that even if Surgicel™ is the most widely used in neurosurgery, it can develop local inflammation presumably due to its acidity (1,2,4). Furthermore, oxidized regenerated cellulose, affecting the 1/T₁ of blood, is likely to alter post-operative MR images, causing misinterpretations. This aspect, in fact, was evaluated by Young et al. showing how oxidized regenerated cellulose could mimic on postoperative CT scans of an abscess (11). Ereth et al. evaluated the comparative safety and efficacy of Arista, Surgicel, Floseal and Avitene with positive and negative controls in a rat neurosurgical model (3). This study found that different hemostatic materials were similar in their effects in order to consider safety and efficacy, but pointed out differences comparing the inflammation observed histologically. In fact, while Avitene and Floseal showed a propensity to form granulomas, no evidence of granuloma formation or foreign body reaction associated with Arista and Surgicel exists. Nowadays, as a bioabsorbable material, Surgicel™ is the most widely used hemostatic agent in neurosurgery. Indeed, proper availment of this material should be provided only in case of bleeding, whereas its removal can cause re-bleeding anyway. For this reason, Surgicel™ in daily practice is commonly left in place, especially after the removal of bulky lesions such as intracranial meningiomas, which can cause hemorrhagic infarction of surrounding tissues. Nevertheless, oxidized regenerated cellulose is used in all craniotomies at our institution and intentionally left in place in most cases to prevent the potentially disastrous consequences of postoperative intracranial hemorrhage. This is first and unique case we have reported on such an inflammatory reaction so far.

Conclusion

The use of oxidized regenerated cellulose (Surgicel™) as bioabsorbable topical hemostatic agent in intracranial surgery should still continue to be considered absolutely safe and effectiveness. The potential risk of postoperative intracranial hemorrhages especially after large tumor masses removal such as meningiomas leads the surgeon to left in place this hemostatic material with its progressive resorption and regular postoperative course. Although rare, foreign body granuloma should be included in the differential diagnosis with abscess or tumor recurrence,
especially when it had been discovered at short-term follow up. Even if the pathophysiological mechanism of this occurrence is not yet fully understood, surgeons should be aware to avoid misinterpretations in case of suspected postoperative abscess or tumor recurrence.
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