Frequency of Instrumentation in Lumbar Spinal Stenosis and Lumbar Disc Herniation
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Abstract

Background & Aim: Lumbosacral pain is extremely common as age increases. Spinal instrumentation is sometimes recommended for lumbar canal stenosis and disk herniation surgery. This study aimed at studying the frequency of instrumentation in patients referring to our center.

Methods & Materials/ Patients: This retrospective cross-sectional study included 1200 patients with low back and/or radicular pain who had referred to the Shahid Beheshti and Ayatallah Roohani hospitals from 2013 to 2014 in Babol city. Data were analyzed by t-test and chi-square using SPSS (Version 20).

Results: In this study, 150 of 1200 patients needed surgery for lumbar canal stenosis and/or disk herniation (mean age of 42.21 ± 11.41 years old, ranging from 26-69). Of all patients, 76 were men (50.7%) and 74 women (49.3%). L4-L5 was the most involved level. There was a significant relationship between pathology and gender (p<001). At some levels, either men or women were involved. Moreover, 55 patients (36.7%) underwent surgery with instrumentation and 95 patients (63.3%) without instrumentation. Complete improvement was reported in 102 patients (68%). No significant relationship was observed between the degree of improvement and surgery technique and gender (p=0.78, p=0.72).

Conclusion: Most patients (63.3%) underwent surgery without instrumentation and about one third needed fixation during surgery.

Keywords: Spinal Stenosis; Disc Herniation; Instrumentation

Introduction

Spinal stenosis is narrowing of spinal canal around soft tissue and bone which endangers nerves (1). It is very common in radiographic findings and inflicts people in their fifth and sixth decades of life (2). It can be acquired or rarely congenital. The prevalence of congenital spinal stenosis is 5/100000 with remarkable limping (3,4). These patients usually complain of gluteal and back pain (5,6). The symptoms worsen as one walks or stands, while sitting and leaning forward settle the pain (7). Due to slow improvement and accompanying complications, the disease needs prompt and proper treatment (8). Although some patients improve after non-surgical interventions (medications, physiotherapy and medical belt use), the effect of conservative treatment is limited and not helpful in patients with severe condition (9). Surgery is usually the best choice for them (10,11). Evidence has also proved that after failure of conservative treatment for about six months, surgery would be the effective solution (12,13). Different surgeries are recommended depending on the severity of the complications. The most common type of spine surgery is decompressive laminectomy (14) in which vertebral lamina is extracted to create more space for the neurons. Neurosurgeons may perform laminectomy with or without vertebral fusion or removing a part of disc. If spondylolisthesis or scoliosis occurs, instrumentation may be recommended. Different devices such as wires, screws or rods are used to facilitate fusion and support instable areas of spine (15-16). The aim of our study was to evaluate the frequency of instrumentation use in patients with lumbar canal stenosis and/or disk herniation who referred to Shahid Beheshti and Ayatallah Roohani hospitals in Babol city in Mazandaran province, north of Iran.

Methods and Materials/ Patients

This cross-sectional study was conducted on patients with lumbar disc herniation and lumbar stenosis who had referred to elective wards. Patients suffering from trauma, tumor, infectious disease, rheumatic and spondylolisthesis were excluded. All information of patients was recorded in a checklist including demographic characteristics, surgery technique, pathology, degree of improvement and up to 18 months follow-up. Written consent forms were obtained from all patients. In addition to clinical examination, simple radiography and lumbosacral MRI were performed. According to MRI and dynamic lumbosacral x-ray (flexion and extension), patients were operated by a neurosurgeon with instrumentation i.e. pedicular screw and rod fixation or without instrumentation through nerve decompression. Visual analogue scale (VAS) was then used to determine the degree of improvement. In this scale, if the level of pain was less than 4 complete improvement, if between 5-8 mild improvement and if upper than 8 no improvement was recorded for patient. Data were finally analyzed using SPSS (version 20), t-test and chi-square.
Results

Out of 1200 patients who had been referred to the clinic, 150 ones needed surgery after clinical and paraclinical examination, so they were enrolled in the study. The mean age of patients was 42.21±11.41 years old ranging from 26 to 69. No statistical significant difference was observed between mean age of men (40.71±10.67) and women (43.76±12.01) (p=0.1).

In these patients, the most frequent lumbar disc herniation and spinal stenosis were at the L4-L5 (42.4%), L5-S1 (14.7%) and L3-L4-L5-S1 (14.7%).

This study found a significant relationship between pathology and gender (p<0.001). At some levels, either men or women were involved (Table 1).

Moreover, 55 patients (36.7%) underwent surgery with instrumentation and 95 patients (63.3%) without instrumentation. During up to 18 months of follow up, 102 patients (68%) had complete and 48 mild improvement (0.32%). No significant relationship was seen between the level of improvement and the surgery technique. Interestingly, most of the patients with complete improvement had been operated without instrumentation (p=0.78) (Table 2).

This study showed that complete improvement was higher in men than women (most of whom had mild improvement). However, this association was not statistically significant (p=0.72). The results revealed a significant relationship between surgery technique and level of pathology (p<0.001) (Table 3).

Discussion

Mean age of patients was 42.21±11.41 years old in this study, which is similar to a study by Mashhadi Nezhad (mean age=43 years old) (17). Moreover, Molaei et al. (2007) reported the mean age of lumbar disc herniation in Kordestan equal to 41 years old (18). According to prior research, disc herniation and spinal stenosis at different levels of pathology can limit people's activity, especially under 45 years of age (19). Most often, the disease affects young people in working ages, having remarkable economic impact as well (20).

In the present study, 51% of patients were men and 49% women. Yabuki et al. (2013) showed that 47.4% of men and 52.6% of women had spinal stenosis (21). Tabatabaei et al. (2012) in their study reported a higher number of male than female patients (45/1). Men are exposed to more mechanical tensions than women (22). However, several researches have suggested an equal prevalence of disc herniation and spinal stenosis in men and women (23).

We did not find any significant difference between the prevalence of disc herniation or spinal stenosis in the two groups of men and women. Similarly, Yabuki et al. (2013) reported no significant differences between men and women in terms of age (21). In another study, the prevalence of disc herniation and spinal stenosis was the same in both sexes (23).

In the present research, pathological considerations showed L4-L5 and L5-S1 as the highest involved levels. Similarly, Brandenburg and Traynelis (1999) reported the same levels in 90% of cases. Several other studies published similar findings (17,18, 24-28). In fact, upper lumbar spine and extra-foraminal space are proportionately larger than the lower lumbar levels, so high mobility leads to early degeneration and herniation of the disc (29). Perhaps considering ergonomic principles in designing equipment and instruments can avoid damages to lumbar vertebral disc at different levels (30).

In our case, most of 150 surgery candidates were operated without instrumentation. Since 1934, surgery is the common standard treatment for lumbar radiculopathy due to prolapsed disc (31) and have yielded good results (32,33). However, annual government costs on diagnosis, treatment and rehabilitation resulting from spinal stenosis and lumbar disc herniation are heavy (34). Iran is no exception. Discovering the causes of this problem as well as prevention and surgery with or without instrumentation can
be very useful to reduce the government costs and help the patients who have earlier return to their occupation and daily routines (35).

We found 68% of patients with complete improvement after surgery. Marshhadi Nezhad et al. (2011) reported 94% improvement (17). In a study by Mobini et al. (2003), most patients with spinal stenosis decompression had improvement in lower limbs and complete satisfaction (36).

Complete improvement was seen more in men than women in our study. Other researchers proved that better surgical outcome correlated with male sex, higher education level, high income and better psychological status (37-39). Furthermore, the main cause of disease played an important role in patient's recovery (40). Some of these risk factors included the presence of trauma or injury in spine, weakness in the annulus, lifting heavy objects, exposure to high seismic waves and smoking (30,41).

Conclusion

The results of this study showed that the prevalence of stenosis and herniation was the same in ages under 40 in both men and women. Most patients (63.3%) underwent surgery without instrumentation. It seems that raising awareness and recognizing the appropriate surgery techniques for spinal stenosis can help these patients for faster return to day life and social activities. Further case-control studies are suggested to achieve these aims.
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Comments

The authors presented a series of 150 patients with lumbar spinal stenosis or disc herniation who were treated surgically with or without instrumentation. The study suffers from some methodological drawbacks which the readers should pay attention to while reading the paper.

Considering other case series, the frequency of instrumentation and the degree of pain improvement differ significantly between lumbar canal stenosis and disc herniation. Unfortunately, in the current study all cases (either stenosis or disc herniation) were analyzed as one group and so, one should interpret the results cautiously: though the reported rate of 36.7 % instrumentation is produced by similar studies on canal stenosis, the rate is much lower in discopathy patients.

Visual Analog Score (VAS) is a well known scale for reporting pain severity by the patients in different diseases (e.g. lumbar disc herniation). For diseases such as spinal stenosis where activity limitation is more troublesome than pain, functional and life quality scores would be more appropriate for patient evaluation. On the other hand, the authors described patient recovery according to their VAS in their follow up. But we should know that this merely reflects their pain status rather than the recovery rate. Indeed, the authors would require pre-operative VAS to report the “recovery” by comparing pre- and post-operative VAS measures. This ambiguity casts a shadow on the results whose interpretation will be even more difficult when we do not know which patient improved, worsened, or remained unchanged after surgery.
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