Case Report:
Retained Lumbar Intradiscal Surgical Knife Blade Retrieved Via Extended Extraforaminal Approach: Case Report and Review
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Abstract

Background and Importance: During a lumbar discectomy, the surgical knife might be broken and embedded deeply within the disc space. In some cases, it may be impossible to remove the broken blade during the initial surgery despite allocating several hours for this purpose. This fact may justify a subsequent surgical session. However, the eventual retrieval of the broken scalpel during a second surgical encounter can likewise be a very daunting challenge.

Case Presentation: An L4-L5 discectomy in a young boy was complicated by the presence of an intradiscal broken surgical knife blade. The broken blade was successfully retrieved in a subsequent surgical session via the extended extraforaminal approach.

Conclusion: The occurrence of an intradiscal retained broken scalpel has been rarely discussed within medical literature. There exist a wide variety of different approaches used for such a needed retrieval. The extended extraforaminal corridor has yet to be described within the context of medical journalism.
1. Background and Importance

or the retrieval of lumbar intradiscal retained surgical instruments including the knife blade being presently addressed; the retroperitoneal corridor has remained the most preferred route for about the last two decades. More recently the extraforaminal and transfemoral routes were introduced [1-4]. Within the present time there are still a few more corridors and techniques being described [5, 6].

Herein, a young man with an intradiscal retained broken surgical scalpel is presented in whom the foreign body was removed via the extended extraforaminal route. The approach described in the current case provides a practical solution through which the broken blade might be discovered and retrieved in the future with much greater ease.

2. Case Presentation

A 23-year-old man was referred to our hospital after unsuccessful attempts for the retrieval of an intradiscal retained broken surgical knife blade. The incident that resulted in its being lodged had occurred during an L4-L5 discectomy two days earlier.

The location of the broken blade could be suspected with the only available AP (anteroposterior) lumbar plane radiograph (Figure 1). However, the exact location of the shattered instrument could be clearly demonstrated within 3-D reconstructed computerized tomography scans (Figure 2).

Surgical intervention

The original incision was reopened, then by drilling the upper part of the cranial facet of L5 and by the retraction of the L4 root; the roof and uppermost part of the L4-L5 annulus were excised. This provided a large scene through which sufficient exposure to the remaining contents of the disc space and the broken knife blade located at the anterolateral part of the disc space could easily be determined. Subsequently, the broken blade was grasped and extracted (Figure 3). The postoperative course was uneventful and he was discharged a day later.

3. Discussion

The literature concerning the breakage of a surgical knife blade during a lumbar discectomy is scarce. Since its first description in 1994, a total number of 14 cases including the current case have been published (Table 1). It is important to note that there should have been plenty of cases with this iatrogenic complication which were never reported with respect to medicolegal implications.

A retained broken blade may remain stable and clinically silent. However, with ambulation, it usually has a tendency to migrate anteriorly toward the retroperitoneal cavity. This will inevitably lead to serious compli-
cations [1, 7]. Migration of the broken blade into the pelvic cavity and penetration of the vena cava or its still further movement toward the left atrium are rather apt examples of the deadly hazards of a possible migration scenario [8, 9].

Removal of a retained broken surgical knife blade is an admittedly challenging issue. Despite a variety of approaches and different corridors which have been proposed; there are no unique guidelines to properly address the management of this complication. For years the retroperitoneal approach was accepted as the corridor of choice for this purpose. Then the more suitable extraforaminal approach was described in 2013 [1, 2]. Later, the transfemoral approach with pars removal was introduced for its relative ease of extraction. Recently, Zheng and Wang were able to remove a broken blade with arthroscopic assistance [6]. The extended extraforaminal approach which was utilized in the current case provides a large visual field which might obviate the necessity of a pars removal as well as the offending instrumentation (Figure 4). In 2021, Barbero-Aznarez et al. successfully employed the paravertebral transposition approach for this purpose [10]. We believe that in the majority of cases, with consideration given for the familiarity of the neurosurgeons; the broken blade can nearly always be retrieved via one of these posterior corridors. Apparently, a posterior approach via an initial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Surgical Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amirjamshidi et al. [1]</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior retroperitoneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amirjamshidi et al. [1]</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior retroperitoneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amirjamshidi et al. [1]</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior retroperitoneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amirjamshidi et al. [1]</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior retroperitoneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahimizadeh et al. [2]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transforaminal, with microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Praetere et al. [9]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>L5-S1</td>
<td>Migrated to heart, open heart surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahimizadeh &amp; Hadadi [3]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>L5-S1</td>
<td>Transforaminal with pars removal, with microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbachia &amp; Gandhi [11]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>L5-S1</td>
<td>Retroperitoneal, laparoscopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koutserimpas et al. [12]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>L4-L5</td>
<td>Robotic retroperitoneal approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. [7]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>L2-L3</td>
<td>Posterior, conventional with microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrawal et al. [5]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>L1-L2</td>
<td>Posterior, conventional with microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbero-Aznarez et al. [10]</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>L4-L5</td>
<td>Lateral, transpsoas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current case</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>L4-L5</td>
<td>Extended extraforaminal, with microscope</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. AP lumbar spine radiograph

The only available radiograph shows that the broken blade is on the left side.
incision is psychologically better accepted by the patients and his/her relatives. However, if most of the broken blade traverses through the anterior longitudinal ligament or is lodged entirely out of the disc space, then its retrieval via a conventional retroperitoneal approach will be required [1, 7]. Recently, laparoscopic approach and robot-assisted laparoscopy have been described as alternative options [11, 12].

4. Conclusion

With consideration of the potential hazards of an intradiscal broken scalpel, its removal either in the initial or within a subsequent surgical session is medically mandatory. The extended extraforaminal route might be safest as well as the easiest modified corridor for all intradiscal retained foreign bodies including a broken blade.

**Figure 2.** 3D lumbosacral CT scan
Images show the exact location of the blade; two-thirds of the blade have passed anterior longitudinal ligament (White arrows) (a, b, and c).

**Figure 3.** Intraoperative photograph
A & B: Showing removal of the blade via extended extraforaminal corridor. L4 root located is cranial to the surgical entry (Black arrows).

**Figure 4.** Schematic photograph showing extended extraforaminal opening
L4 root is retracted cranially.
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